

Governance and total quality in sport (Case of managers, officials and presidents of sports clubs)

Dr. Labane Karim.

Dr. Benchernine Abdelhamid.

Dr. Fahssi Mohammed Riadh.

University of Algiers 3,

Institute of Physical Education and sports, Algeria.

Summary

The aim of our study is to try to give a predictive vision on governance in the field of sports in Algeria.

This predictive approach looks at the overall quality of governance in order to be at the continental level or even across the Arab world.

To identify this and answer our questions, we conducted a survey of some leaders of the different sports institutions, namely the presidents of clubs (football, handball, athletics, judo), managers also have a sports experience and occupy currently responsible positions as managers of sports facilities.

The results of the survey we reveal significant figures on the component related to sports development prospects.

However, there are other aspects that are ambiguities in the management and organization that have an impact on good governance in sports.

Keywords.

Sport governance, Total quality, Management and Sports organization.

Introduction

The issue of governance in sport in Algeria, was always at the heart of concerns. It therefore continues to challenge scientists and managers to optimize the management and supervision of the sport whose aim is to achieve good governance of the Algerian sports movement.

The purpose of this research focuses on the question of total quality management and sports organization in the different structures and sports institutions of the state.

Note that these two parameters affect the nature of sports governance. For example, to solve the problem of relational management financially, reduce cost and increase market shares to grow the capital of shareholders, would be one of our initiative to offer. (Gog, J-M, 2006).

There is also the know-how and competitiveness across globalization represents the true image of the sport governance. Lots of research has been done in the field of governance in general and in sport in particular.

Therefore challenged the sport governance are multiple since the past decade. A number of issues emerge filigree : how decisions are made in sports organizations ?

How are influenced by the government, politically, economically and socially ?

What are the effects of modes of governance on the management styles that affect the profitability sports ?

Who should lead, manager, control ? (Bayle. E and Chantelat. P, 2008, p.11)

1- Theoretical approach on sports governance.

Sports governance, management structures and sports bodies are affected by good control of the sport and especially the flow of ideas raised in the sports project, to provide a long-term vision.

Bayle. E and Chantelat. P, talk about the governance of organizations, then this is the mastery of information that allows managers to handle situations in different structures, and many take the necessary measures to provide products and more ideas, take decisions to organize and give a promising sports management. (Bayle. E and Chantelat. P, 2008, p.11)

And to know how governance provides a good sports organization, we can say that there is a possibility to structure the projects and ideas of people manage their decisions. Are these decisions are influenced by the government ?

Is it another thought or another way to fit well the understanding between groups managing situations sporting events.

Chaker. A-N, cites that "Governance, the sport in particular can be defined in several ways. It can be, according to the people, referred to different meanings, especially if one takes a global perspective.

Sports governance is the establishment of effective networks of national sports agencies, non-governmental sports organizations and procedures that operate jointly and independently under the laws, policies and specific rules for private promoting ethical sporting, democratic, efficient and transparent. "(Chaker. A-N, 2044, p.7)

We can say that the data cited by several others in the field of sports marketing that allow officials to govern the structures that arise on a well-built platform, and above which contains a rigorous strategy called true.

The viability of the Algerian sports model is in play in all countries that manage effectively their sport in all disciplines, mass sport as elite sport are dependent on two major instances resources.

Public authorities and supporters of sport, neither of these groups could support a continuing deterioration in the governance of high-level sport in the future. In another context, sport organization and management of sports facilities could be influenced by good or bad governance. We note, moreover, that globalization requires some political strategy based on well considered decisions.

Hums, M-A and others, offer coherent reflection on the political condition for good governance of sports organizations based on good policy decision like the following :

- Help to master the fundamentals of governance and conduct policies of these organizations;
- Expose the mechanisms inherent to networks that develop in the international sports area : how each interacts with other sports organization and where decisions take ?
- Contextualize relations between sports organizations and political power ;
- Ensuring identify specific models of global governance by showing the similarities and differences in a globalized sports world... (Hums, M-A and others, 2011, p.56)

2-Total quality, good references for sport governance.

Good governance in sport, it is the quality of management and organization of human and material resources with the requirements of sport, national or international.

And to choose a good management and good sports organization, you have to properly structure the actors in sport or federations, leagues and clubs, to refer to the conditions of the application of total quality in the known sport globally.

Ishikawa, K. speaks good sports management in a very interesting way, but we must know the rules of the practice of field data, and for that we must define the conditions for the application of total quality. (Ishikawa, K. 2007, p.45)

Total quality is a reference model for a good sports management then you have to put in evidence the expectations of the sports population, officials, practitioners or supporters, and there can say we are on the right track by compared to total quality data. (Adriaensens, B. Coll, 1993, p.54)

Bannker. S and Majer. H, have given the system for applying total quality measures adapted to the sports organization and especially for sport governance. These measures are as follows :

- Sports administration officials, who give the programs and projects of sport, must create a policy to implement a true total quality.
- The objectives of their sports governance must realize the reality on the ground.
- The scientific work in the field of sports governance are references and bases to adopt a total quality policy.
- The administration of human and material resources, is the important basis that characterizes the competition to a good sports governance at the national and international levels. (Bannker. S and Majer. H, 1999, p.52)

3- Focus on the methodological framework and discussion of results.

3-1- Materials and methods.

3-1-1- Topics.

To the tasks of our work we have carried out our investigations on forty (40) leaders and leaders of clubs (football, handball, athletics and judo) or twenty (20) heads of federations and leagues, and twenty (20) leaders of elite clubs. Sample characteristics are shown in Table No. 01

	Officials fed/ leag	Leaders clubs
Elected	+03times	+03times
N	20	20
Management general	25%	45%
Sport management	15%	88.50%
Age	56.17±0.89	53.89±1.39
President	1.67±0.70	2.34±1.63
General secretary	1.41±1.31	372±1.97
Member	13.36±1.19	18.29±1.26

3-1-2-Equipment.

We used the following search engines :

- A questionnaire to member leagues.
- An interview for the presidents of federations and clubs.

3-2 - Method of investigation.

3-2-1- Analytical descriptive method.

This is the most appropriate method in this kind of study primarily to restore the data and consult with a questionnaire and interview with a member of the sampling.

3-3- Statistical method.

The method used is the parametric statistics (Champely, 2004), which allows the characterization and cut the population and more specifically the series of values of a variable that includes using as the arithmetic average parameters (which is determined by the sum of the observed values divided by the number of series elements); variance and coefficient of variation :

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

As it is very important to know how is it that the group is arranged around the middle it is grouped or scattered around it ? The standard deviation is a dispersion index because it provides information on the dispersion around the mean. We calculate the standard deviation of the sample by using the following formula :

$$\sigma = \sqrt{\frac{\sum(x - \bar{x})^2}{n - 1}}$$

→ For analytical statistics was used Student test, to calculate the difference of means of two samples.

→ And to all our calculations (mean, standard deviation and T Student) we used the Office Excel 2007 software.

4- Results

Table No. 02 : Questionnaire Results.

	Officials fed/leag	Leaders clubs
Sports management	Yes or no	Yes or no
Yes	17.77± 2.52	19.89±0.02
References to standards	6.05±0.71	3.13±0.88
adapted	3.37±0.21	0.52±0.20
decisions	15.73± 0.70	19.72±0.36
With vote	8.5±5.73	3.22± 4.38
Others	0.94±0.32	1.74±0.59

Table No. 03 : Comparative analysis of the responses of federal officials and leagues with those of club leaders.

TEST	T STUDENT
Number	50%
Self governance	0.23
Decisions by vote	S*at 0.05
Always	S*2.13
By references to standard	S at p < 0.05
Never	S **at 0.01
With model	N.S at 0.05
Politic decisions	N.S at 0.05
View of ISO standards	N.S at 0.05
Others	S ** at 0.01

(NS) no significant difference,

(*) significant difference at $p < 0.05$,

(**) significant difference $p < 0.01$,

(***) significant difference at $p < 0.001$

5- Discussion.

The analysis of the results of the sports organization settings made on our sample, we find that there is only three (03) significant differences (in various sporting events, references to known models, make decisions...).

For the sports management : there are no significant differences between the leaders of federations and leagues and club leaders for a threshold of 0.05.

For personal decisions we note that there are significant differences between the two samples to a threshold of 0.05.

As for the comparison of answers about the interview questions, we see that very significant differences exist (S **) regarding the response rate seen as iso benchmark management and sports organization (5 * 12) for a threshold of 0.01, the rest was found significant difference.

Regarding the sample of members or in sports facilities or clubs, we have made a non-influenced data collection by comparing only the mean and standard deviation for each group, and given the small number of group club members) against nine (09) in known structures.

Thereby calculating the Student's t could not be made. They are represented as follows :

- That there is no significant difference in total a sports organization settings % between them is very small.
- That there is no significant difference in sport management capabilities but we see that the club presidents shift a no reduced rate.
- That n is not an effort to put sports structures to ISO standards approaching total quality.

In order to elucidate somewhat the results we have obtained, we shall say that a volunteer leaders form interest groups, if only to defend their positions and achievements. The concentration of power by these volunteers and the strategies to maintain it can be explained all the more because the federations have known for several years a rise of the professionals likely to modify the traditional map of the powers. These potential competitors, who live from sport, are increasingly expressing a desire to participate in federal politics. For some federations, such as golf, squash or horse riding, the right to vote, if fully accredited professionals in affiliated commercial structures, could call into question the non-profit nature of the federal organization (Thirds of the equestrian centers, for example, are commercial structures, three-quarters of the clubs affiliated to the golf federation are also commercial structures). Although the legislation evolves, the associative nature prevents these professionals from weighing politically in the federations. (Lafaye, C.; 1996)

Age also appears as a criterion for differentiating between former amateur leaders whose average age during their term of office is about sixty and current leaders younger than their elders from ten to twenty years old. Yet to stick to a strictly cultural explanation is not enough. Conflicts between leaders come, of course, from their perceptions as amateurs or professionals, their situations of assets or retirees, or their sporting and volunteer experiences, but the distinctions observed cannot obscure another source of conflict that is, from our point of view, a conflict of classes and social positions. (Mayaux, F. ; 1996)

Through the interviews we had on occasion from our present study, it appeared to us that if opinions were divided on the development of federal sport, it would seem that a certain taken awareness voluntary leaders. On the one hand, there are volunteers who regretted a time when sport had a more human face in their eyes. On the other hand, there is the volunteer who recognizes that professionalization is a logical continuation and that this requires volunteers to act as real entrepreneurs. This realism of the militant discourse can be perceived in the remarks of some of our interviewees, like the case of some former league presidents. (Marchal, E.; 1987)

Conclusion

Over the past decade, the governance of organizations has been deeply questioned. Numerous works and reforms, in the world, made it possible to thoroughly review the "corporate governance" of large companies. Political governance is also strongly questioned and reformed. This movement also affects sports organizations (International Olympic Committee, sports federations, professional clubs, etc.) which have sometimes had to deal with serious crises linked to ethical excesses (corruption, doping, cheating, etc.).

A number of questions emerge in the water : how are decisions made in sports organizations ? How these decisions influenced by political, commercial, social pressures ... are How is power exercised ? What are the effects of governance on management styles and beyond on performance ? Who should lead, manage, control ? Neither "state of knowledge" nor "defense of a singular thesis", this book, which has contributed eighteen European and North American researchers, proposes to open a debate on The new stakes of the governing body of sports organizations in the context of the beginning of the twenty-first century.

On the other hand, "Quality is today a recognized value in any company." Faced with the pressure of international competition, total quality management has become one of the determining factors in competitiveness. In a company are involved in obtaining the quality of the products or services.

Within each function, each is responsible for Quality in its own right, the needs of the consumers or customers are generally taken into account, a quality requirement Universal (total absence of defects) is stated and put into practice, resources must be gradually transferred from the curative mode to the preventive mode, each function being involved in the process of continuous quality improvement.

Good governance promotes the achievement of desired outcomes in accordance with the values of the organization and accepted social standards. This aspect is particularly true in the world of sport, where results and virtuosos, especially athletes are the products. In sport, the governance mode must encourage the passion and dedication of volunteers to blend into a motivated, honest and committed governance team to enhance the participants' experience, athletic performance and reputation of sport; from which emerges the total quality.

As part of our thinking, we well informed as sport governance is related to the requirements of the conditions of total quality, with reference to international rules of global structures such as federations and sports clubs.

And to establish the combination of good sports management and organization structures that manage or apply the decision and plots programs, we found in our country, few officials give a true professional dimension to the sports management Algerian, but after consulting some officials and leaders we can hope that there is a political will in whatever federations or liege and sports clubs.

Bibliographical references.

- Bernhard Adriaensens, Marc Ingham, Michel Vankerkem, **Marketing et qualité totale**, ed. De Boeck Supérieur, Bruxelles, 1993.
- Bannker S., Majer H., **Total quality management in high education**. 2nd ed Putnam publishing group, New York, 1999.
- Bayle. E Etchantelat P., **La gouvernance des organisations sportives**, Editions l'harmattan, Paris, 2008.
- Chaker. A-N, **La route vers une meilleure gouvernance du sport**, Voir André Noël Chaker, Good Governance in Sport : A. European Survey, Council of Europe Publishing, September, 2004.
- Gogue, J-M., **Qualité totale et plus encore**, Ed. L'harmattan, paris, 2006.
- Hums, M, A et autres, **La gouvernance au cœur des politiques des organisations sportives**, Editions De Boeck Université, Bruxelles, 2011.
- Ishikawa, K., **La gestion de la qualité : Outils et applications pratiques**, éd. Dunod, France, 2007.
- Lafaye, C., **Sociologie des organisations**, éd. Nathan, Paris , 1996.
- Mayaux, F., **Noyau stratégique des associations : quel partage des pouvoirs entre dirigeants bénévoles et dirigeants salariés ?** Thèse de Doctorat : Sciences de Gestion, Lyon 3, n° 9401419I, 1996.
- Marchal, E., **La professionnalisation des associations**, Thèse de doctorat : Sociologie, Paris V, 1987.